Memorandum

Date:

April 26, 2010

To:

Assistant Commissioner Staff

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Enforcement and Planning Division

File No.:

060.14720

Subject:

MANDATORY 2010 COMMAND INSPECTIONS

As directed by the Office of the Commissioner, all headquarters commands were requested to conduct chapter inspections, utilizing the guidelines and checklists contained in either Highway Patrol Manual (HPM) 22.1, Command Inspection Program, or Highway Patrol Guide (HPG) 22.1, Area Resources Management Guide.

For the first quarter inspection, Enforcement and Planning Division (EPD) chose to conduct a Uniform and Equipment Inspection as contained within HPG 22.1, Chapter 10. On March 30, 2010, all available uniformed personnel from EPD, Research and Planning Section, Special Projects Section, Commercial Vehicle Section, and Field Services Section participated in the uniform inspection in their class A uniform. Assistant Chief Janice Mulanix conducted the inspection with assistance of Sergeant Troy Rivers. All uniformed personnel were inspected and determined to be in compliance with departmental policy.

If you have any questions, or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me or Assistant Chief Mulanix at (916) 843-3330.

B. RODRIGUEZ, Chief

Attachments

cc: Office of Inspections

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION UNIFORM AND EQUIPMENT INSPECTION

CHP 453K (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
060	EPD	060
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Assistant Chief Mulanix		03/30/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED		ormal Evaluation] Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
1. PERSONNEL INSPECTION a. Do employees maintain a high standard of appearance? b. Conduct a formal inspection (Annex A). (1) Are officers familiar with the positions of attention, parade rest, and the execution of inspection arms? 2. WEAPONS INSPECTION a. Are primary weapons inspected annually? (1) Are deficiencies corrected within 30 days? (2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division? (3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? (3) Are a record maintained on employees authorized to carry, secondary weapons? (1) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? (2) Yes No (3) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010		_		COMMANDER'S REVIEW			
b. Conduct a formal inspection (Annex A). (1) Are officers familiar with the positions of attention, parade rest, and the execution of inspection arms?	1. PERSONNEL INSPECTION				CORRECTED		
(1) Are officers familiar with the positions of attention, parade rest, and the execution of inspection arms? 2. WEAPONS INSPECTION a. Are primary weapons inspected annually? (1) Are deficiencies corrected within 30 days? (2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division? (3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? (4) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? (5) Yes No (6) Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year? (7) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010	a.	Do employees maintai	n a high standard of appearance?			✓ Yes	□No
2. WEAPONS INSPECTION a. Are primary weapons inspected annually? (1) Are deficiencies corrected within 30 days? (2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division? (3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? (4) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? (5) Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year? (6) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010	b.	Conduct a formal inspe	ection (Annex A).				
a. Are primary weapons inspected annually? (1) Are deficiencies corrected within 30 days? (2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division? (3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? (4) Are weapons inspected on employees authorized to carry secondary weapons? (5) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? (6) Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year? (7) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010		(1) Are officers familia	ar with the positions of attention, para	de rest, and the execution	on of inspection arms?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are deficiencies corrected within 30 days? (2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division? (3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? (4) Yes □ No (5) Is there a record maintained on employees authorized to carry secondary weapons? (6) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? (7) Yes □ No (8) C. Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year? (9) Yes □ No (1) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010	2 WEADONE INSPECTION				CORRECTED)	
(2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division? (3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? (4) Yes No (5) Is there a record maintained on employees authorized to carry secondary weapons? (6) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? (7) Yes No (8) C. Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year? (9) Yes No (1) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010	a. Are primary weapons inspected annually?			✓ Yes	□ No		
(3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property? Description of the property of th	(1) Are deficiencies corrected within 30 days?			✓ Yes	□No		
b. Is there a record maintained on employees authorized to carry secondary weapons? (1) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections? (2) Yes	(2) Are temporary replacement weapons readily available from Division?			✓ Yes	□No		
(1) Are weapons inspected on initial approval to carry, and annually on CHP 311, Annual Safety and Protection Equipment Inspections?	(3) Are all weapons listed on CHP 4, Firearms Report, or CHP 81, Receipt of State Property?			✓ Yes	□No		
Protection Equipment Inspections? c. Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year? (1) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010	b. Is there a record maintained on employees authorized to carry secondary weapons?			✓ Yes	□No		
(1) When was the last audit conducted? 04/05/2010					✓ Yes	□ No	
	c. Are serial numbers physically verified by the commander or his/her designee every year?			✓ Yes	□No		
(2) Was the printout returned to the Academy Weapons Control Unit by April 30 of the inventoried year?		(1) When was the las	t audit conducted? 04/05/2010				
		(2) Was the printout returned to the Academy Weapons Control Unit by April 30 of the inventoried year?			✓ Yes	□No	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 3

Command: Enforcement and Planning	Division: Enforcement and Planning	Chapter: Chapter 10 Inspection
Division	Division	
E 0. 0		

number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspection docume	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or file number. Under "Forward to:" enter the nexent shall be utilized to document innovative praction plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be	actices, suggestions for statewide
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Total hours expended on the	Corrective Action Plan Included
☐ Division Level ☐ Command L	.evel	inspection:	
Executive Office Level		3 hours	
Follow-up Required:	Forwa		
	Assista Staff	ant Commissioner,	
☐ Yes	Due D	ate: 04/15/2010	real transfer complete as Euler Lie
Chapter Inspection:		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	表 10 mm 1
Inanastar'a Commente Bagar	ding Ir	anavativa Practicas:	
Inspector's Comments Regar	aing ii	movative Fractices.	
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:	
Inspector's Findings:			
On March 30, 2010, Enforcement and Planning Division conducted a formal Chapter 10, Uniform and, Equipment Inspection per Highway Patrol Guide, 22.1. The inspection was conducted at the CHP Headquarter Campus and included uniformed members from Enforcement and Planning Division, Research and Planning Section, Special Projects Section, Commercial Vehicle Section, and Field Services Sections. The inspection was conducted by Assistant Chief Mulanix and all in attendance were evaluated in the class A uniform with green jacket. All uniformed members in attendance were inspected including their primary duty weapon and determined to be within departmental policy. There were no discrepancies found during this inspection. Commander's Response: Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)			
Commander 5 Nesponse. M Conduit of Lipo Not Conduit (Do Not Conduit shall document basis for response)			

Assistant Chief Mulanix conducted the March 30, 2010, Chapter 10 inspection and determined all uniformed staff in attendance were within departmental policy.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Enforcement	Enforcement	Chapter 10
and Planning	and Planning	Inspection
Division	Division	

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Enforcement	Enforcement	Chapter 10
and Planning	and Planning	Inspection
Division	Division	
11 1		

Required Action			Land September
Corrective Action Plan/Tim	eline		

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	dulla	42610
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee		1/17110
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur		9/ 00/10